

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

PCT 11 APR 2005 E.I. du Pont de Nemours and PATENT RECORDS CENTER NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF Legal Patent Records Center THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY MAR 23 2005 4417 Lancaster Pike REPORT ON PATENTABILITY Wilmington, Delaware 19805 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE (PCT Rule 71.1) TO BE REVIEWE Date of mailing BYATTORNE 16.03.2005 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION **BA9314PCT** International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. 20.11.2003 27.11.2002 PCT/US 03/37668 E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

27 may 2005

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

D.

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer**

Conner, M

Tel. +49 89 2399-2241





INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference BA9314PCT	FOR FURTHER AC	TION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No. PCT/US 03/37668	International filing date (c) 20.11.2003	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 27.11.2002	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or na G01N15/02	ational classification and IP	С		
Applicant E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY et al.				
 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 				
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:				
a. 🛛 sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of 3 sheets, as follows:				
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).				
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.				
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).				
	eta.			
4. This report contains indications re	lating to the following ite	ems:		
Box No. I Basis of the opinion				
☐ Box No. II Priority				
☐ Box No. III Non-establishm	ent of opinion with regar	d to novelty, inventive s	tep and industrial applicability	
Box No. IV Lack of unity of	invention			
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VI Certain docume				
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects			• •	
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observa	tions on the internationa	al application		
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this	report	
21.06.2004		16.03.2005	,	
Name and mailing address of the international		Authorized Officer	chas Points	
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Müller, T Telephone No. +49 89 23	99-2285	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US 03/37668

	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
1.	With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it wa filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	 □ This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: □ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) □ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) □ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3) 			
2.	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):			
	Description	Pages		
	1-37	as originally filed		
	Claims, Nur	pers		
	1-23	received on 26.01.2005 with letter of 25.01.2005		
Drawings, Sheets		eets		
	1/8-8/8	as originally filed		
	□ a sequ	nce listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.		endments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
	☐ the	escription, pages aims, Nos.		
		rawings, sheets/figs equence listing <i>(specify)</i> :		
		able(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.	had not bee	ort has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the I Box (Rule 70.2(c)).		
	☐ the	escription, pages aims, Nos. rawings, sheets/figs		
	□ the	equence listing (specify): able(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
	* If it	n 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."		



International application No. PCT/US 03/37668

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-23

No:

Claims

Yes: Claims

1-23

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-23

Claims No:

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

International application No.



PCT/US 03/37668

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: EP-A-0 475 121 (BUEHLER AG) 18 March 1992 (1992-03-18)
- D2: US-A-5 917 927 (SATAKE SATORU ET AL) 29 June 1999 (1999-06-29)
- D3: WO 94/06092 A (SJOESTEDT LENNART ;SOEDERLUND PATRIK (SE); AGROVISION AB (SE); OES) 17 March 1994 (1994-03-17)
- D4: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 1996, no. 08, 30 August 1996 (1996-08-30) & JP 08 089780 A (TOKAI CARBON CO LTD), 9 April 1996 (1996-04-09)

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and shows in one embodiment related to figure 8A and the corresponding description (the references in parentheses applying to figure 8A of D1):

A method and a device for sorting particles comprising a particle feeder (87.1), an inclined surface having an upper inlet located adjacent to and below the exit end of the feeder, a source of illumination (115), and a CCD camera (201), that is an image receiver. An image analysis unit is adapted to detect the colour of the particles that is a composition calculator which converts reflective light image signals received from the image receiver into data indicative of particle types based on at least one optical property of the particles.

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known method in that

- the proportion of at least one particle type in a mixture is calculated whereas in D1 the particles are sorted into storage containers
- the particles are fed to a smooth planar stationary surface and descend along the inclined surface through the force of gravity whereas in the embodiment of figure 8 of D1 the particles move on a conveyor belt with indentations for receiving the particles

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new over the embodiment related to figure 8A of D1.





PCT/US 03/37668

In another embodiment of D1, as disclosed in figure 1 and the corresponding description, particles are indeed fed to a smooth planar stationary surface and descend along the inclined surface through the force of gravity. However, in that embodiment of D1 the inclined surface belongs to a preprocessing stage to identify "good" particles for further investigation and subsequent sorting and has to be regarded as the feeder. Therefore claim 1 of the present application differs from the method related to figure 1 of D1 in that

- the proportion of at least one particle type in a mixture is calculated whereas in D1 the particles are sorted into "good" particles which are further investigated and other particles falling into a funnel
- the mixture is fed from a feeder located adjacent an upper inlet end of the inclined surface and capable of being positioned at a distance no greater than the smallest dimension of the particles

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new over D1 (Article 33(2) PCT).

A similar argument would apply to the corresponding independent apparatus claim 20.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as minimizing the amount of touching particles when feeding a mixture of particles to a surface and calculating the proportion of at least one particle type based on data from optical properties or shape.

The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

D1 discloses as a solution a conveyor belt with grooves for minimizing the amount of grains touching each other and no hint is given replace that conveyor belt by an inclined surface. D2 and D3 employ also conveyor belts for feeding the particles to devices for optical investigation. D4 discloses an inclined surface (21), but granulated particles of one type only are falling onto the surface and positioning of a feeder at a distance no greater than the smallest dimension of the particles for minimizing the amount of touching grains is not hinted. Therefore the combination of



(SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US 03/37668

- feeding the mixture from a feeder located adjacent an upper inlet end an inclined surface and capable of being positioned at a distance no greater than the smallest dimension of the particles
- employment of a smooth planar stationary surface where particles descend along the inclined surface through the force of gravity

is not derivable from a combination of the cited prior art documents.

A similar argument would apply to the corresponding independent apparatus claim 20.

Claims 2-19 and 21-23 are dependent on the independent claims and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.